


Hydrogenation-Assisted Graphene Origami and Its Application in Programmable Molecular Mass Uptake, Storage, and Release

Shuze Zhu and Teng Li*

Department of Mechanical Engineering and Maryland NanoCenter, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, United States

ABSTRACT The malleable nature of atomically thin graphene makes it a potential candidate material for nanoscale origami, a promising bottom-up nanomanufacturing approach to fabricating nanobuilding blocks of desirable shapes. The success of graphene origami hinges upon precise and facile control of graphene morphology, which still remains as a significant challenge. Inspired by recent

progresses on functionalization and patterning of graphene, we demonstrate hydrogenation-assisted graphene origami (HAGO), a feasible and robust approach to enabling the formation of unconventional carbon nanostructures, through systematic molecular dynamics simulations. A unique and desirable feature of HAGO-enabled nanostructures is the programmable tunability of their morphology *via* an external electric field. In particular, we demonstrate reversible opening and closing of a HAGO-enabled graphene nanocage, a mechanism that is crucial to achieve molecular mass uptake, storage, and release. HAGO holds promise to enable an array of carbon nanostructures of desirable functionalities by design. As an example, we demonstrate HAGO-enabled high-density hydrogen storage with a weighted percentage exceeding the ultimate goal of US Department of Energy.



KEYWORDS: graphene · origami · hydrogenation · self-assembly · nanomanufacture

Graphene has emerged as an extraordinary material with its capability to accommodate an array of remarkable electronic, mechanical, and chemical properties.^{1–3} Extra-large surface-to-volume ratio renders graphene a highly flexible morphology, giving rise to intriguing observations such as ripples, wrinkles, and folds^{4–8} as well as the potential to transform into other novel carbon nanostructures.^{8–16} In particular, self-folding of graphene,⁸ or graphene origami,^{17–19} has been subjected to intensive study due to the need to fabricate unconventional nanostructures *via* approaches beyond conventional material preparation techniques. Progresses in patterning graphene with atomic-scale precision have further paved the way toward achieving graphene origami in a programmable fashion.^{18–23} For example, water nanodroplet can activate the self-folding of graphene flake cut in a particular cross shape.⁸ The unique feature of such an unconventional bottom-up nanomanufacture technique is that a material building block

can self-assemble into a final folded structure, which is typically energetically more favorable and thus more stable than the original building block.²⁴ In general, nonbonded adhesion, such as van der Waals (vdW) forces, plays a crucial role in overcoming the energy barrier (*e.g.*, bending energy) in order to fold graphene as well as stabilizing the folded nanostructure against the perturbation from thermal fluctuation. A direct example is that a carbon nanoscroll can hold its spiral morphology rather stably *via* vdW forces distributed among interlayers over a large surface area.^{8–16} On the other hand, manipulating or neutralizing the interlayer vdW interaction can significantly affect the morphology of the carbon nanoscroll because of its open and tunable topology.²⁵ By suitably programming the original graphene building blocks, the resulting folded nanostructures of graphene can be customized to take various unique morphology and topology that are otherwise impossible in conventional carbon nanostructures, such as carbon

* Address correspondence to lit@umd.edu.

Received for review January 2, 2014 and accepted February 24, 2014.

Published online February 24, 2014
10.1021/nn500025t

© 2014 American Chemical Society

nanotube and fullerene. Such novel nanostructures can overcome some intrinsic difficulties that the conventional carbon nanostructures would inevitably suffer for certain applications. For example, the hollow nature of carbon nanotube²⁶ and fullerene²⁷ has motivated the proposal of using such materials as hydrogen storage medium, yet the fact that large size carbon nanotubes and fullerene become structurally unstable poses a practical limitation on storage capacity. By contrast, suitably folded graphene nanostructures can serve as nanocapsules or nanocontainers to host molecular-scale cargo of desirable quantity,^{28,29} which has profound implications in molecular vessel and drug delivery applications.³⁰ For example, as to be shown in this letter, a graphene nanocage can stably store hydrogen molecules with a weighted percentage of 9.7%, exceeding US Department of Energy (DOE)'s ultimate goal of 7.5%.³¹

The two-dimensional nature of graphene makes the chemical functionalization of graphene a promising approach to modulating the graphene properties.^{32–38} For example, hydrogenation of graphene^{32,39,40} involves bonding atomic hydrogen to the carbon atoms in graphene. Such a reaction changes the hybridization of graphene from sp^2 into sp^3 . As a result, the two-dimensional atomic structure of pristine graphene is distorted.^{10,11,41} Significant progresses have been achieved on controllable hydrogenation of graphene. For example, single-sided hydrogenation of pristine graphene has been theoretically and experimentally explored.^{10,11,32,41–47} Hybrid superlattices made of patterned hydrogenation can be fabricated in a controlled fashion on both macroscopic and microscopic scales.⁴⁰ Recent studies show that hydrogen chemisorption in graphene can be enhanced by local curvature in a graphene sheet.^{45,48,49} It has also been shown that a graphene sheet can closely conform to the sharp features on a substrate surface (*e.g.*, extrusions and edges),^{50–53} leading to large local curvature in the graphene. These findings suggest the feasibility of precise hydrogenation (*e.g.*, in atomic rows) of graphene in a programmable fashion. These advances on programmable bonding of atomic hydrogen to carbon atoms in pristine graphene open up new avenues for manipulating the morphology of graphene and therefore exploring graphene-based novel nanomaterials. In this letter, we use molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to demonstrate the hydrogenation-assisted graphene origami (HAGO), in which initially planar, suitably patterned graphene can self-assemble into three-dimensional nanoscale objects of desirable geometric shapes. We further demonstrate that the HAGO process can be modulated by an external electric field, enabling programmable opening and closing of the resulting three-dimensional nano-objects, a desirable feature to achieve molecular mass manipulation, storage, and delivery. To benchmark this unique feature,

we demonstrate using HAGO-enabled nanocage for controllable uptake and release of fullerenes and nanoparticles as well as ultrahigh density of hydrogen storage.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Hydrogenation of a carbon atom in pristine graphene generates an sp^3 carbon–hydrogen (C–H) bond, which induces a local structural change around that carbon atom. The chemically adsorbed hydrogen atom attracts its bonded carbon atom while it repels other neighboring carbon atoms. Therefore, the three initially planar carbon–carbon (C–C) bonds associated with the hydrogenated carbon atom would locally bend away from the hydrogen atom. If the graphene is hydrogenated on both sides, the resulting hydrogenated graphene (termed as graphane^{32,39}) would overall remain a rather planar morphology because the local distortions of the C–C bonds neutralize each other. Nevertheless, if the graphene is single-sided hydrogenated, the local distortion at each hydrogenated carbon atom is accumulated.⁵⁴ For example, if hydrogenation lines are introduced in one side of a graphene, the accumulated distortion can effectively fold the graphene along the hydrogenation lines to a certain angle. Figure 1 shows that such a folding angle at equilibrium increases when the number of rows of hydrogenation increases. In particular, it is found that the folding angle can be tailored to be close to 90° (*e.g.*, Figure 1(b,e)), which offers the possibility to potentially form a stable folded substructure that consists of two faces that are folded nearly perpendicularly along the hydrogenation lines. The HAGO process often involves a cascade of or simultaneous events of such folding steps, as to be shown below.

Using MD simulations, we have successfully demonstrated an array of unconventional nanostructures enabled by HAGO, including hexahedral nanocage (Figure 2), octohedral nanocage, and nanobusket (Figures S1, S2, Supporting Information). In the simulations, the C–C and C–H bonds in the graphene as well as the nonbonded C–C and C–H interactions are described by AIREBO potential.⁵⁵ The simulations are carried out using Large-Scale Atomic/Molecular Massively Parallel Simulator (LAMMPS).⁵⁶ Figure 2(a) depicts our simulation model to achieve HAGO-enabled hexahedral graphene nanocage. The double-cross shaped graphene flake is cut out of a rectangular graphene with a length $L = 13.2$ nm and a width $W = 7.1$ nm. Rows of single-sided hydrogenation are introduced along the expected edges, which demarcate the graphene flake into nine regions. Hereinafter, each such region is referred to as a graphene wall. Each of the six outer graphene walls (labeled 1–6) has three free edges, and the center graphene wall (labeled 7) has only two free edges, while the remaining two graphene walls (labeled 8 and 9) have no free edges.




Figure 1. Top view and side view of graphene structures folded to an angle due to single-sided hydrogenation along various lines at equilibrium. (a) One-line and (b) two-line hydrogenation are introduced along zigzag direction of graphene lattice, respectively. (c–e): One-line, two-line, and three-line hydrogenation are introduced along arm-chair direction of graphene lattice, respectively. The bottom row plots the variation of the folding angle at 300 K over time, which is shown to be minimum, indicating robust stability of the folded substructure.




Figure 2. (a) Double-cross shaped graphene flake to achieve HAGO-enabled hexahedral graphene nanocage. Insets show the hydrogenation lines, which demarcate the graphene flake into nine regions (labeled by numbers). (b) Energy-minimized structure by conjugate gradient (CG) and steepest descent algorithm. (c) Further energy minimization toward the formation of a graphene nanocage.

Carbon atoms along the free edges of the graphene flake are saturated by hydrogen atoms to avoid unwanted bond formation during the self-assembling process. Figure 2(b) shows the structural configuration after the initial energy minimization (see Methods and Movie M1 in Supporting Information). Figure 2(c) shows the further structural evolution (see Movie M2 in Supporting Information). At 18 ps, all six outer graphene walls are in the process of bending upward, which later fold along the hydrogenated edges up to about 90° . At 26 ps, the two graphene walls (labeled 8 and 9) are in the process of bending upward, which causes the two sets of folded graphene walls (1–2–3 and 4–5–6) to come close to each other, resembling the closing of a venus flytrap. At 40 ps, the two sets of folded graphene walls overlap with each other. Because of geometrical constraints and interwall vdW interaction, an outer graphene wall on the left slides

above or beneath another outer graphene wall on the right. The vdW interaction further drives the tightening of the folded structure and serves as the interwall adhesives to stabilize the final structure. As a result, a quasicubic hexahedral graphene nanocage is formed.

In practice, hydrogenation process of graphene may not be as perfect as the ideal case (e.g., Figure 2(a)). In other words, defective hydrogenation (e.g., missing hydrogen atoms) could occur in a random fashion. To this end, we have carried out further simulations of patterned graphene with random imperfection in hydrogenation. These further studies reveal that HAGO is a rather robust self-assembly process with a strong tolerance to possible imperfect hydrogenation. For example, we show that the graphene nanocage can still be successfully formed even if only less than 70% of single-side hydrogenation from the ideal case is achieved (as detailed in Section IV in Supporting Information).

In the HAGO-enabled graphene nanocage, the interwall vdW interaction energy plays a key role in maintaining the nanocage structural stability. Therefore, manipulation of such an interaction energy potentially offers the morphological tunability of the graphene nanocage (e.g., controllable opening and closing). We next show that an external electric field can effectively reduce the interwall adhesion. Therefore, by tuning the external electric field, facile control of the morphology of graphene nanocage can be achieved. An external electric field can cause the polarization of carbon atoms in graphene. As a result, the intergraphene-layer interaction can be changed. For example, it is shown that an electric field can effectively cause the radial expansion of a carbon nanoscroll due to the polarization-induced decrease of intergraphene-layer adhesion.²⁵ Recently first-principle calculations have revealed the dependence of the effective dielectric constant in graphene structures on external electric field.^{57,58} For a graphene bilayer, both in-plane and out-of-plane




Figure 3. (a) Under an external electric field parallel to the plane of a graphene bilayer, point dipoles of the same direction as the electric field form in the graphene bilayer. (b) Schematics of in-plane sliding (left and middle panels) and out-of-plane separation (right panel) of the graphene bilayer. (c) As the two graphene layers slide off each other in the plane, the normalized dipole–dipole interaction energy decreases as the total surface area of the bilayer (e.g., $2(L + dL)W$ or $2L(W + dW)$) increases. (d) Similarly, as the two graphene layers separate out-of-plane, such an energy also decreases as the separation distance increases.

polarizations are shown to increase as the electric field increases.⁵⁷ When a graphene bilayer is subjected to an out-of-plane electric field, the interlayer adhesion is reported to decrease with increasing electric field intensity, and the two graphene layers can be easily separated when the electric field intensity reaches above 1.8 V/\AA .⁵⁷ Here we show that the effective interlayer adhesion of a graphene bilayer (e.g., the overlapping walls in the HAGO-enabled graphene nanocage) also decreases when the bilayer is subjected to an electric field parallel to the graphene plane. The effective interlayer adhesion γ_{eff} consists of the contribution of the interlayer vdW interaction and that of the interlayer dipole interaction; i.e., $\gamma_{\text{eff}} = \gamma_{\text{vdW}} + \gamma_{\text{dipole}}$; the dipole-induced surface energy can be deduced as $\gamma_{\text{dipole}} = (d\Phi_{\text{dipole}}/dS)$, where Φ_{dipole} is the total dipole–dipole interaction energy and S is the total surface area of the graphene (see Section I in Supporting Information). Figure 3(a) shows a schematic of dipole orientations when a graphene bilayer is subjected to an in-plane electric field. Figure 3(c) plots the normalized Φ_{dipole} as a function of S as the graphene bilayer slide off each other (i.e., $dS = 2L dW$ or $dS = 2W dL$, Figure 3(b)). Figure 3(c) shows that Φ_{dipole} monotonically decreases as the interlayer sliding proceeds in two representative directions, indicating that under an in-plane electric field, in-plane sliding of a graphene bilayer is energetically favorable. Figure 3(d) further shows that normalized Φ_{dipole} also decreases as the interlayer separation distance increases, indicating that interlayer out-of-plane separation is also energetically favorable. In addition, the hydrogenation and its induced folding of graphene can also lead to a

nonuniform charge distribution (thus global polarization) in the HAGO-enabled graphene nanocage (Figure S6, Supporting Information). We have further shown that such a global polarization can also facilitate the opening of the nanocage when subjected to an external electric field (See Section V in Supporting Information). The above analysis suggests that an external electric field can reduce the effective interwall adhesion in a HAGO-enabled graphene nanocage, a unique feature that can potentially enable facile control of the morphology of graphene nanocage *via* tuning the external electric field.

Inspired by the above feature, we next demonstrate controlled opening and closing of HAGO-enabled graphene nanocage (Figure 4, see Movie M3 in Supporting Information), an otherwise hard to achieve but highly desirable mechanism for molecular mass manipulation. To capture the effect of external electric field on the effective interwall adhesion, the C–C pair potential is represented by a modified Lennard–Jones potential $V_{\text{CC}} = 4\lambda_{\text{CC}}\epsilon_{\text{CC}}((\sigma_{\text{CC}}^{12}/r^{12}) - (\sigma_{\text{CC}}^6/r^6))$, where $\epsilon_{\text{CC}} = 0.00284 \text{ eV}$, $\sigma_{\text{CC}} = 0.34 \text{ nm}$, and $\lambda_{\text{CC}} (\leq 1)$ is a tuning factor that is to represent the effect of electric field (e.g., $\lambda_{\text{CC}} = 1$ when there is no external electric field). As shown in Figure 4, natural vdW interactions facilitate the formation of a closed nanocage, which can be then opened up by applying an external electric field. Upon removing the electric field, the opened graphene nanostructure closes spontaneously, driven by vdW interactions. The controlled opening and closing of the graphene nanocage are reversible and repeatable by turning on and off the external electric field. Such a change of nanocage morphology can be readily understood as




Figure 4. Controlled opening and closing of graphene nanocage via tuning effective interwall adhesion. $\lambda_{CC} = 1$: without applied electric field, nanocage is closed; $\lambda_{CC} = 0.12$: under an applied electric field, interwall adhesion decreases, leading to the opening of the nanocage under thermal fluctuation. The simulation is done in the NVT ensemble at 300 K.




Figure 5. (a–d) Controlled opening and closing of a graphene nanocage immersed in a C_{60} reservoir can enable the uptake of C_{60} molecules by the nanocage. (e–h) After the cargo-loaded nanocage is transported to the destination, the stored C_{60} molecule can be released by the electric field induced opening of the nanocage. (i–l) Release of a silicon nanoparticle from a graphene nanocage under the same mechanism.

follows. In the HAGO-enabled formation of graphene nanocage, the closing of the nanocage leads to the decrease of interwall vdW interaction energy, which counterbalances the increase of folding induced bending energy. On the other hand, subjected to a sufficiently strong electric field, the effective interwall adhesion decreases, to some extent that is not able to hold up the nanocage. As a result, the nanocage opens up to relax the excessive bending energy.

The controlled opening and closing of such graphene nanocages have practical significance, *e.g.*, to be used as a nanovessel or nanocontainer to achieve molecular mass delivery.^{28–30} As a demonstration of such a functionality, we study the uptake and release of C_{60} molecules using a HAGO-enabled graphene nanocage. A closed nanocage is first immersed into a reservoir of C_{60} molecules, as shown in Figure 5(a) (see Movie M4 in Supporting Information). The MD

simulation using AIREBO potential is carried out with NVT ensemble at a temperature of 300 K.

Reflective wall boundary condition is imposed in all three dimensions of the simulation box to simulate a constant feed of C_{60} molecules. Initially, C_{60} molecules evolve to adhere to the outer surface of the graphene nanocage because of vdW adhesion. When an electric field is applied (*e.g.*, λ_{CC} decreases from 1 to 0.12), the nanocage opens up. Driven by thermal noise, some C_{60} molecules could migrate into the inner space of the nanocage. Upon turning off the electric field, the nanocage closes spontaneously, and these C_{60} molecules are uptaken and stored inside the nanocage (Figure 5(a–d), see Movie M4 in Supporting Information). After the nanocage with the cargo load (*e.g.*, C_{60}) is transported to a designated destination, release of the cargo load can be achieved by applying an electric field to open up the nanocage, followed by the migration of the C_{60} molecules out of the nanocage




Figure 6. (a) Patterned graphene is suitably hydrogenated to form a graphene nanocage of large size. (b) Morphology after initial energy minimization. (c–f) Electric field assisted formation of large size graphene nanocage. (g) Evolution of potential energy from (b–f), indicating that the electric field can help prevent the graphene nanostructure trapped in unwanted metastable state (c) and facilitate the formation of the final nanocage (f), a thermodynamically stable state.

driven by thermal fluctuation (Figure 5(e–h), see Movie M5 in Supporting Information). We have also demonstrated the uptake and release of other molecular mass (e.g., silicon nanoparticles, Figure 5(i–l)) using the HAGO-enabled graphene nanocage under the same mechanisms. Note that, despite the possible uncertainty of the thermally driven process, programmable uptake, storage, and release of molecular mass could be implemented by controlling a large amount of HAGO-enabled graphene nanocages *via* a global electric field.

An external electric field can also facilitate the formation of graphene nanocages of large sizes that are otherwise challenging to achieve. Figure 6 shows the electric field assisted formation of a graphene nanocage with a size of 9 nm by 9 nm by 12 nm. Figure 6(a,b) shows two snapshots during the energy minimization process. When the graphene flake becomes larger, it becomes easier to fluctuate out-of-plane. As a result, neighboring graphene walls evolve to partially attach to each other driven by vdW adhesion, preventing the desirable formation of a nanocage. Such an unwanted feature can be overcome by the help of external electric field. As shown in Figure 6(d–f), upon applying an electric field followed by turning it off, the initially collapsed graphene structure first partially opens up and finally folds up into a rather regular hexahedron nanocage (see Movie M6 in Supporting Information). The effect of the electric field in such a process can be understood as follows. In the presence of an electric field, the effective interwall adhesion decreases, which cannot balance the excessive bending energy in the initially collapsed and distorted graphene structure.

As a result, the entire graphene structure first partially opens up to release excessive bending energy and then gradually evolves toward lower energy configuration (Figure 6(d,e)). After such a priming process and followed by restoring the natural interwall vdW adhesion by turning off the electric field, the graphene structure finally evolves into a regular nanocage as desired, which corresponds to the lowest energy level as shown in Figure 6(g). In other words, the electric field can help prevent the graphene structure getting stuck in unwanted metastable states and thus facilitate the formation of the thermodynamically stable graphene nanostructure. Results in Figure 6 further demonstrate the feasibility and robustness of the programmable HAGO process.

The tunable and robust morphology HAGO-enabled graphene nanocage as well as its hollow nature with a volumetric capacity of nearly 1000 nm³ (e.g., Figure 6(f)) render attractive attributes for potential applications such as nanoscale pressure tank.^{26,27} To benchmark such potentials, here we demonstrate high density hydrogen storage enabled by graphene. Giant fullerenes have been proposed to serve as a medium of high density hydrogen storage,²⁷ but their closed nature poses an intrinsic challenge to uptake and release hydrogen, as such a processes involve breaking covalent C–C bonds and thus are irreversible. By contrast, a HAGO-enabled graphene nanocage can be repeatedly opened and closed *via* facile control of an external electric field, making reversible uptake and release of hydrogen feasible. Although the graphene nanocage is not fully sealed by covalent bonds as in a giant




Figure 7. (a) High density hydrogen storage in HAGO-enabled graphene nanocage, with a weighted percentage of 9.7%, beyond the US DOE ultimate goal of 7.5% for hydrogen storage. For visual clarity, only half of the nanocage is shown. See Movie M7 in Supporting Information. (b) Weighted percentage of hydrogen storage in HAGO-enabled graphene nanocage as a function of the side length of a cubic graphene nanocage, for four different hydrogen volume densities inside the nanocage. Dashed lines denote US DOE ultimate goal and year 2017 goal on hydrogen storage density.

fullerene, the interwall adhesion over large areas indeed allows it to sustain sufficiently high pressure (thus store a large amount of hydrogen molecules inside). In addition, when an empty nanocage is immersed in a hydrogen reservoir, a slight opening of the nanocage effectively gives rise to the formation of gaps or pores along the edge and corner of the nanocage, a feature that allows the adsorption of hydrogen atoms into the inner volume of the nanocage (See Section VI in Supporting Information).^{59,60}

As shown in Figure 7(a) (see Movie M7 in Supporting Information), the graphene nanocage in Figure 6 can host 47 880 hydrogen atoms in its inner volume at a temperature of 70 K. During the MD simulation, no escaping of the hydrogen is observed at such a temperature. The graphene nanocage contains 37 130 carbon atoms and 1468 initially chemically adsorbed hydrogen atoms. Therefore, the weighted percentage of the hydrogen storage is about 9.7%, which exceeds the US DOE target of 5.5% for the year 2017 and the ultimate goal of 7.5%,³¹ indicating the promising potential of HAGO-enabled graphene nanocage as a high density hydrogen storage medium. The hydrogen storage density using graphene nanocage depends on the nanocage size, which can be estimated by a simple model. Consider a cubic graphene nanocage formed *via* HAGO process with side length of L , let ρ_c be the *area* density of graphene, ρ_h be the volume density of the stored hydrogen, m_h and m_c be the atomic mass of hydrogen and carbon atoms, respectively. The weighted percentage of hydrogen storage in such a graphene nanocage can be estimated by $((m_h\rho_hL^3)/(m_h\rho_hL^3 + 9m_c\rho_cL^2))$. Figure 7(b) plots the

weighted percentage of hydrogen storage as a function of graphene nanocage size L , for four different hydrogen volume density. As shown in Figure 7(b), the hydrogen storage capacity increases as the graphene nanocage size increases, in an approximately linear fashion. For example, to reach the DOE's ultimate goal for hydrogen storage density, graphene nanocages of size greater than 12 and 6 nm are needed, for the hydrogen volume density of 30 and 60 atom/nm³, respectively. We have also shown that such hydrogen volume density levels can be feasibly achieved by tuning the ambient hydrogen reservoir pressure (see Section VI in Supporting Information). In this sense, the above estimation offers a rule-of-thumb guideline for the design of graphene nanocage to achieve certain hydrogen storage density. The hydrogen atoms stored inside the graphene nanocage can also be effectively released by elevating the temperature (details available in Section VII in Supporting Information).

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, through systematic MD simulations, we demonstrate a feasible and robust hydrogenation-assisted graphene origami (HAGO) process. We further reveal that a HAGO-enabled graphene nanocage can be reversibly opened and closed in a programmable fashion *via* the facile control of an external electric field, a desirable feature that can enable controllable molecular mass uptake, storage, and release, as well as high density hydrogen storage. These promising applications of HAGO process and HAGO-enabled novel nanostructures call for further experimental investigations to explore their full potential.

METHODS

C–C and C–H bonds in the graphene as well as the non-bonded C–C and C–H interactions are described by AIREBO potential.⁵⁵ The simulations are carried out using Large-Scale

Atomic/Molecular Massively Parallel Simulator (LAMMPS).⁵⁶ The time step for all simulations is set to be 0.5 femtoseconds (fs). Molecular dynamics simulations in Figure 1, Figure 4, Figure 5, and Figure 6(c–f) are done in NVT ensemble at 300 K and by Nose–Hoover thermostat. Molecular dynamics simulations in

Figure 7(a) are done in NVT ensemble at 70 K and by Nose–Hoover thermostat. Figure 2 is to demonstrate that HAGO is intrinsically energetically favorable; therefore, energy minimization simulations are done in NVE ensemble (not NVT) in Figure 2. Energy minimization simulations in Figure 2 (also in Figure 6(a,b)) are first done by conjugate gradient (CG) algorithm followed by steepest descent algorithm until either the total energy change between successive iterations divided by the energy magnitude is less than or equal to 10^{-10} or the total force is less than 10^{-5} eV Å⁻¹. However, both conjugate gradient and steepest descent algorithm are highly possible to find a local energy minimum point. To gain further approach to global energy minimum configuration, we adopted an alternate way of relaxing a system by running dynamics with a small or limited time step.⁵⁶ The simulation is then running in NVE ensemble with the restriction that the maximum distance an atom can move in one time step is 0.1 Å. The time step is set to be 0.5 fs.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgment. This research is supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant Numbers: 1069076 and 1129826). Z.S. is thankful for the support of the Clark School Future Faculty Program at the University of Maryland.

Supporting Information Available: Details of theoretical method, other forms of HAGO-enabled nanostructures, effect of imperfection in hydrogenation pattern, effect of global polarization, hydrogen adsorption into graphene nanocage, and temperature-modulated hydrogen release. Supporting figures and videos. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- Geim, A.; Novoselov, K. The Rise of Graphene. *Nat. Mater.* **2007**, *6*, 183–191.
- Klimov, N. N.; Jung, S.; Zhu, S.; Li, T.; Wright, C. A.; Soares, S. D.; Newell, D. B.; Zhitenev, N. B.; Strosio, J. A. Electro-mechanical Properties of Graphene Drumheads. *Science* **2012**, *336*, 1557–1561.
- Lee, C.; Wei, X.; Kysar, J.; Hone, J. Measurement of the Elastic Properties and Intrinsic Strength of Monolayer Graphene. *Science* **2008**, *321*, 385–388.
- Fasolino, A.; Los, J.; Katsnelson, M. Intrinsic Ripples in Graphene. *Nat. Mater.* **2007**, *6*, 858–861.
- Zhu, S.; Galgáinaitis, J.; Li, T. Critical Dispersion Distance of Silicon Nanoparticles Intercalated Between Graphene Layers. *J. Nanomater.* **2012**, 375289(1)–375289(4), DOI: 10.1155/2012/375289.
- Li, T. Extrinsic Morphology of Graphene. *Modell. Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2011**, *19*, 054005(1)–054005(15).
- Kim, K.; Lee, Z.; Malone, B.; Chan, K.; Aleman, B.; Regan, W.; Gannett, W.; Crommie, M.; Cohen, M.; Zettl, A. Multiply Folded Graphene. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2011**, *83*, 245433(1)–245433(8).
- Patra, N.; Wang, B.; Kral, P. Nanodroplet Activated and Guided Folding of Graphene Nanostructures. *Nano Lett.* **2009**, *9*, 3766–3771.
- Zhang, Z.; Li, T. Carbon Nanotube Initiated Formation of Carbon Nanoscrolls. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2010**, *97*, 081909(1)–081909(3).
- Zhu, S.; Li, T. Hydrogenation Enabled Scrolling of Graphene. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **2013**, *46*, 075301(1)–075301(8).
- Yu, D.; Liu, F. Synthesis of Carbon Nanotubes by Rolling up Patterned Graphene Nanoribbons Using Selective Atomic Adsorption. *Nano Lett.* **2007**, *7*, 3046–3050.
- Zhang, Z.; Li, T. Ultrafast Nano-Oscillators Based on Inter-layer-Bridged Carbon Nanoscrolls. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2011**, *6*, 470(1)–470(11).
- Shi, X.; Pugno, N.; Gao, H. Tunable Core Size of Carbon Nanoscrolls. *J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci.* **2010**, *7*, 517–521.
- Xie, X.; Ju, L.; Feng, X.; Sun, Y.; Zhou, R.; Liu, K.; Fan, S.; Li, Q.; Jiang, K. Controlled Fabrication of High-Quality Carbon Nanoscrolls from Monolayer Graphene. *Nano Lett.* **2009**, *9*, 2565–2570.
- Braga, S.; Coluci, V.; Legoas, S.; Giro, R.; Galvao, D.; Baughman, R. Structure and Dynamics of Carbon Nanoscrolls. *Nano Lett.* **2004**, *4*, 881–884.
- Martins, B.; Galvao, D. Curved Graphene Nanoribbons: Structure and Dynamics of Carbon Nanobelts. *Nanotechnology* **2010**, *21*, 075710(1)–075710(6).
- Siegel, J. Materials Chemistry: Carbon Origami. *Nature* **2012**, *486*, 327–328.
- Feng, J.; Li, W.; Qian, X.; Qi, J.; Qi, L.; Li, J. Patterning of Graphene. *Nanoscale* **2012**, *4*, 4883–4899.
- Qi, J.; Huang, J.; Feng, J.; Shi, D.; Li, J. The Possibility of Chemically Inert, Graphene-Based All-Carbon Electronic Devices with 0.8 eV Gap. *ACS Nano* **2011**, *5*, 3475–3482.
- Bell, D.; Lemme, M.; Stern, L.; Williams, J. R.; Marcus, C. Precision Cutting and Patterning of Graphene with Helium Ions. *Nanotechnology* **2009**, *20*, 455301(1)–455301(5).
- Ci, L.; Xu, Z.; Wang, L.; Gao, W.; Ding, F.; Kelly, K.; Yakobson, B.; Ajayan, P. Controlled Nanocutting of Graphene. *Nano Res.* **2008**, *1*, 116–122.
- Ci, L.; Song, L.; Jariwala, D.; Elias, A.; Gao, W.; Terrones, M.; Ajayan, P. Graphene Shape Control by Multistage Cutting and Transfer. *Adv. Mater.* **2009**, *21*, 4487–4491.
- Fischbein, M.; Drndic, M. Electron Beam Nanosculpting of Suspended Graphene Sheets. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2008**, *93*, 113107(1)–113107(3).
- Whitesides, G. Nanoscience, Nanotechnology, and Chemistry. *Small* **2005**, *1*, 172–179.
- Shi, X.; Cheng, Y.; Pugno, N.; Gao, H. Tunable Water Channels with Carbon Nanoscrolls. *Small* **2010**, *6*, 739–744.
- Chen, H.; Sun, D.; Gong, X.; Liu, Z. Self-Assembled Water Molecules as a Functional Valve for a High-Pressure Nanocapsule. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 1973–1976.
- Pupysheva, O.; Farajian, A.; Yakobson, B. Fullerene Nanocage Capacity for Hydrogen Storage. *Nano Lett.* **2008**, *8*, 767–774.
- Chen, Y.; Guo, F.; Jachak, A.; Kim, S.; Datta, D.; Liu, J.; Kulaots, I.; Vaslet, C.; Jang, H.; Huang, J.; Kane, A.; Shenoy, V.; Hurt, R. Aerosol Synthesis of Cargo-Filled Graphene Nanosacks. *Nano Lett.* **2012**, *12*, 1996–2002.
- Chen, Y.; Guo, F.; Qiu, Y.; Hu, H.; Kulaots, I.; Walsh, E.; Hurt, R. Encapsulation of Particle Ensembles in Graphene Nanosacks as a New Route to Multifunctional Materials. *ACS Nano* **2013**, *7*, 3744–3753.
- Fernandes, R.; Gracias, D. Self-Folding Polymeric Containers for Encapsulation and Delivery of Drugs. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* **2012**, *64*, 1579–1589.
- DOE, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE). http://www1.eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells/storage/pdfs/targets_onboard_hydro_storage.pdf, 2009.
- Elias, D.; Nair, R.; Mohiuddin, T.; Morozov, S.; Blake, P.; Halsall, M.; Ferrari, A.; Boukhvalov, D.; Katsnelson, M.; Geim, A.; Novoselov, K. Control of Graphene's Properties by Reversible Hydrogenation: Evidence for Graphane. *Science* **2009**, *323*, 610–613.
- Xu, Y.; Bai, H.; Lu, G.; Li, C.; Shi, G. Flexible Graphene Films via the Filtration of Water-Soluble Noncovalent Functionalized Graphene Sheets. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 5856–5857.
- Ryu, S.; Han, M.; Maultzsch, J.; Heinz, T.; Kim, P.; Steigerwald, M.; Brus, L. Reversible Basal Plane Hydrogenation of Graphene. *Nano Lett.* **2008**, *8*, 4597–4602.
- Wang, X.; Tabakman, S.; Dai, H. Atomic Layer Deposition of Metal Oxides on Pristine and Functionalized Graphene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 8152–8153.
- Boukhvalov, D.; Katsnelson, M. Chemical Functionalization of Graphene with Defects. *Nano Lett.* **2008**, *8*, 4373–4379.
- Boukhvalov, D.; Katsnelson, M.; Lichtenstein, A. Hydrogen on Graphene: Electronic Structure, Total Energy, Structural Distortions and Magnetism from First-Principles Calculations. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2008**, *77*, 035427(1)–035427(7).
- Balog, R.; Jorgensen, B.; Nilsson, L.; Andersen, M.; Rienks, E.; Bianchi, M.; Fanetti, M.; Laegsgaard, E.; Baraldi, A.; Lizzit, S.

- Sljivancanin, Z.; Besenbacher, F.; Hammer, B.; Pedersen, T.; Hofmann, P.; Hornekaer, L. Bandgap Opening in Graphene Induced by Patterned Hydrogen Adsorption. *Nat. Mater.* **2010**, *9*, 315–319.
39. Sofo, J.; Chaudhari, A.; Barber, G. Graphane: A Two-Dimensional Hydrocarbon. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2007**, *75*, 153401(1)–153401(4).
40. Sun, Z.; Pint, C.; Marcano, D.; Zhang, C.; Yao, J.; Ruan, G.; Yan, Z.; Zhu, Y.; Hauge, R.; Tour, J. Towards Hybrid Superlattices in Graphene. *Nat. Commun.* **2011**, *2*, 559(1)–559(5).
41. Reddy, C.; Zhang, Y.; Shenoy, V. Patterned Graphone—A Novel Template for Molecular Packing. *Nanotechnology* **2012**, *23*, 165303(1)–165303(5).
42. Pujari, B.; Gusarov, S.; Brett, M.; Kovalenko, A. Single-Side-Hydrogenated Graphene: Density Functional Theory Predictions. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2011**, *84*, 041402(R)(1)–041402(R)(4).
43. Zhou, J.; Wang, Q.; Sun, Q.; Chen, X.; Kawazoe, Y.; Jena, P. Ferromagnetism in Semihydrogenated Graphene Sheet. *Nano Lett.* **2009**, *9*, 3867–3870.
44. Sessi, P.; Guest, J.; Bode, M.; Guisinger, N. Patterning Graphene at the Nanometer Scale via Hydrogen Desorption. *Nano Lett.* **2009**, *9*, 4343–4347.
45. Chernozatonskii, L.; Sorokin, P. Nanoengineering Structures on Graphene with Adsorbed Hydrogen “Lines”. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2010**, *114*, 3225–3229.
46. Zhang, H.; Miyamoto, Y.; Rubio, A. Laser-Induced Preferential Dehydrogenation of Graphane. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2012**, *85*, 201409(R)(1)–201409(R)(4).
47. Zhou, J.; Sun, Q. How to Fabricate a Semihydrogenated Graphene Sheet? A Promising Strategy Explored. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2012**, *101*, 073114(1)–073114(4).
48. Tada, K.; Furuya, S.; Watanabe, K. *Ab Initio* Study of Hydrogen Adsorption to Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2001**, *63*, 155405(1)–155405(4).
49. Ruffieux, P.; Groning, O.; Biemann, M.; Mauron, P.; Schlapbach, L.; Groning, P. Hydrogen Adsorption on sp^2 -Bonded Carbon: Influence of the Local Curvature. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2002**, *66*, 245416(1)–245416(8).
50. Lui, C.; Liu, L.; Mak, K.; Flynn, G.; Heinz, T. Ultraflat Graphene. *Nature* **2009**, *462*, 339–341.
51. Ishigami, M.; Chen, J.; Cullen, W.; Fuhrer, M.; Williams, E. Atomic Structure of Graphene on SiO_2 . *Nano Lett.* **2007**, *7*, 1643–1648.
52. Zhu, S.; Li, T. Wrinkling Instability of Graphene on Substrate-Supported Nanoparticles. *J. Appl. Mech.* **2014**, *81*, 061008(1)–061008(5).
53. Yamamoto, M.; Pierre-Louis, O.; Huang, J.; Fuhrer, M.; Einstein, T.; Cullen, W. “The Princess and the Pea” at the Nanoscale: Wrinkling and Delamination of Graphene on Nanoparticles. *Phys. Rev. X* **2012**, *2*, 041018(1)–041018(11).
54. Zhang, Z.; Liu, B.; Hwang, K.; Gao, H. Surface-Adsorption-Induced Bending Behaviors of Graphene Nanoribbons. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2011**, *98*, 121909(1)–121909(3).
55. Stuart, S.; Tutein, A.; Harrison, J. A Reactive Potential for Hydrocarbons with Intermolecular Interactions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, *112*, 6472–6486.
56. Plimpton, S. Fast Parallel Algorithms for Short-Range Molecular-Dynamics. *J. Comput. Phys.* **1995**, *117*, 1–19.
57. Santos, E.; Kaxiras, E. Electric-Field Dependence of the Effective Dielectric Constant in Graphene. *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13*, 898–902.
58. Santos, E. Magnetolectric Effect in Functionalized Few-Layer Graphene. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2013**, *87*, 155440(1)–155440(7).
59. Gogotsi, Y.; Dash, R.; Yushin, G.; Yildirim, T.; Laudisio, G.; Fischer, J. Tailoring of Nanoscale Porosity in Carbide-Derived Carbons for Hydrogen Storage. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 16006–16007.
60. Yushin, G.; Dash, R.; Jagiello, J.; Fischer, J.; Gogotsi, Y. Carbide-Derived Carbons: Effect of Pore Size on Hydrogen Uptake and Heat of Adsorption. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2006**, *16*, 2288–2293.